

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5

6 TERAS SMITH,
7 Plaintiff(s),

8 v.

9 AEG MANAGEMENT LAS VEGAS, LLC,
et al.,

10 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:23-cv-01708-RFB-NJK

Order

[Docket Nos. 49, 50]

11 Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for a settlement conference. Docket No. 49.
12 Rather than file a response, the parties have filed a stipulation to extend the responsive deadline
13 while they discuss further. Docket No. 50. The Court does not generally set a settlement
14 conference when the parties are not in agreement that holding one might be fruitful. *E.g., McCarty*
15 *v. Roos*, 2013 WL 5436578, at *2 (D. Nev. Sept. 27, 2013). Accordingly, the motion for a
16 settlement conference is **DENIED** without prejudice and the stipulation to extend is **DENIED** as
17 moot. If the parties would like to request a settlement conference, they must file a stipulation with
18 five dates on which all participants are available.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: October 8, 2024

21
22 
23 _____
24 Nancy J. Koppe
25 United States Magistrate Judge
26
27
28